

# LOS ANGELES DAILY HERALD.

VOL XXV.

LOS ANGELES FRIDAY MORNING APRIL 23 1886. - SIX-PAGE EDITION

NO. 43.

## NEWS OF THE WORLD.

The Irish Land Purchase Bill.

## ITS SALIENT FEATURES.

A Young Man Killed on the Eve of Marriage—Gen. Miles' Apache Campaign.

[Special to the Herald by the Associated Press]

LONDON, April 22.—The Irish Land Purchase bill, which the Commons last Friday night gave Gladstone permission to introduce, was issued to-day. It covers twenty-six pages. The bill divided into five parts and there are thirty-three clauses and four schedules. The bill provides that the landlord who is desirous to sell property shall apply to the State authority. The latter shall refer the application, thus made to the Land Commissioner, who, after taking in the question, shall fix the price at which the property shall be sold, unless the landlords and State authorities have previously come to an agreement. The bill also objects to the principle by which the Government may withdraw his application on paying the costs. When the sale of property has been effected the Commissioner shall pay the sellers or other dispositions of the purchase money. A certain rent charge may be bought outright by State authorities. Payment of the amount from tenants. On the land where there is a reasonable cause to suppose valuable minerals exist, the Commission shall add to the purchase price a sum equal to the value of the minerals removed from the said property shall be vested in the State authorities or such local body as the Irish Legislature may provide. The Irish Receiver General, the agent of the state, shall be appointed to hold office of the act, shall be appointed to hold office as permanent civil servants subject to the authority of the treasury. They shall be entitled to a salary of £1000 a year, but the Irish government shall appoint the actual collectors. If the Receiver General or any of his deputies shall be unable to make payment to the court, the court shall form the sum lost, and also shall be subjected to a fine of five hundred pounds. The measure empowers the treasurer to collect taxes on the property of persons, bearing interest at three and two and three quarters or two and half per cent respectively, and who shall be charged to the expenses of collection. Some rules are provided by the bill, which for the subdividing or subletting of holdings, so long as it is subject to any State charge, but the State authority is empowered to relax these rules when he may think it advisable. The other points of the bill are fully covered by Gladstone's speech of Friday evening last.

## A MAD AFFAIR.

A Young Man Killed on the Eve of Marriage.

SAN FRANCISCO, April 22.—A. D. Rankin, son of the late Dr. Rankin, and nephew of Judge Rankin, of San Jose, was run over by a construction "trap" at the Potero this afternoon and received injuries from which he died in a few hours. He was employed as a brakeman of the train, which was being moved to a new location. He was riding in the engine and fell beneath the wheels of the moving train which crushed his left leg. His heart stopped, and he was pronounced dead. The carriages were all stopped for the funeral services. The man had been removed to the receiving hospital, where he died to-night.

## MILES' CAMPAIGN.

### He Has It Well Laid Out on Paper.

FORT BOWIE, A. T., April 22.—General Miles to-day issued General Field Order No. 7, distributing the territory for thorough patrolling. Signal detachments will be kept on the tops of the highest peaks to communicate the movements of the hostiles and between the camps. The infantry will be used in constant movements through the mountains, occupying the passes, etc. The number of reliable Indians was estimated for trailers, etc. The cavalry will be used for light scouting, and the forces already ready for instant action. To overcome the hedge advantages in relays of horses, the command will be held in half and half detachments, and the lightest and best riders in pursuit until all the animals are worn out. Thus the command should in forty-eight hours obtain the status of about 150 men. If this is the case, the ridge will be favorable for cavalry. The horses will be trained for the purpose, and the commanding officers will thoroughly instruct them in the art of skirmishing, their charge and retreat, the pursuit until capture or until a fresh command is on the trail. All camps and movements will be conducted as much as possible. To prevent the hostiles getting ammunition, every cartridge will be accounted for and all empty shells destroyed. Field reports must be made thrice a month.

## The Morrow Bill Reconsidered.

WASHINGTON, April 22.—The House Committee on Foreign Affairs to-day reconsidered the vote by which a favorable report was ordered on Morrow's Chinese bill. Representative Morrow and other members of the California delegation are opposed to the amendments made in the committee, and especially to the one which says that the masters of vessels must be liable for damages for bringing any person into the United States who are not entitled to come to that country under existing treaty stipulations. They say that the bill, as it stands, would be unconstitutional, and that if this amendment were adopted, it would defeat the entire purpose of the bill. They also said they would oppose the bill if the House reported it in its present shape. The bill will be further considered in the committee next week.

## Pollard's Case.

WASHINGTON, April 22.—The Senate in executive session to-day took up the case of Charles R. Pollard, of Indiana, nominated to be Judge of the Supreme Court of Montana, vice General Coburn, suspended. The case was reported adversely from the Judiciary Committee. Senator Stone, Edson and Hovey spoke against Pollard. Pollard was a Union democrat. Many allegations concerning questionable transactions in which Pollard took part were made, and the names of Indiana were discussed. Senator Vinton, however, began a speech in favor of Pollard, but gave way for an adjournment.

## Suit Against a Lawyer Company.

Washington, April 22.—The Solicitor of the Treasury has instructed the United States District Attorney at San Francisco, California, to bring suit against the Sierra Lumber Company to recover about \$2,218,000 damage arising from the conversion of timber and lumber taken from public lands. The agents of the Land Office have been instructed to render the District Attorney all possible aid in prosecuting the suit.

## Sentenced.

SAN LUIS OBISPO, April 22.—J. H. Sanders, convicted of a deadly weapon in one of the noted trials, has been sentenced to imprisonment in jail for one year and a fine of \$1000.

## A COUNTERFEITER'S TALE. A Convicted Man Tells a Strange Story.

## A MESSAGE From the President on Labor.

## HE FAVORS A COMMISSION, Suggestions From Cleveland as to a Board of Arbitration to Decide Labor Questions.

[Special to the Herald by the Associated Press]

WASHINGTON, D. C., April 22.—The President to-day sent the following message to Congress on the subject of the labor troubles:

To the Senate and House of Representatives—

The constitution imposes on the President the duty of recommending to the consideration of Congress from time to time such measures as he shall judge necessary and expedient. I am deeply impressed with the importance of labor legislation, and I have a strong desire to see something done.

The commission recommended 3 v. m.

The message concluded:

"What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

## THE INVESTIGATION. Jay Gould Commences his Testimony.

## CONGRESSIONAL.

SENATE.

WASHINGTON, April 22.—Jay Gould was in attendance at the meeting of the committee investigating the labor troubles to-day. He was invited to give his views upon the subject of trusts. In a very low voice Gould read a statement showing in detail the number of men employed by the Missouri Pacific system at the time of the strike, and the number while the strikers numbered 37,176 men.

"What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."

The message from the President relating to the labor troubles was laid before the Senate to-day. When it had been read, the question arose as to which committee it could most appropriately be referred—whether the Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Education and Labor. The Senate adjourned without a vote, and the question of return was allowed to remain in abeyance. The Senate then went into executive session and when the doors reopened adjourned until Monday.

What followed was Good Friday, the Senate agreed when it adjourned to-day that it till Monday."





**PROPERTY TRANSFERS.**  
Reported by Gillette & Gibson,  
Examiners of Title.  
WEDNESDAY, April 21, 1886.

Earl B Miller to James Booth—Lot 10 blk L in lots 5 and 7 blk 29, Hancock's survey, \$200.  
John F Decher to Theo Mollerling—4½ acres to N ½ sec 5, T. S., R. 12 W., \$200.  
Mrs Isabella Thornton to John F Hunt—10½ acres to NE ¼ of SW ¼ sec 7, T. 2 S., R. 12 W., \$200.  
Los Angeles Improvement Co to W E Rogers—Lots 29 and 30, lots 3 and 4, Highland tract, \$12,000.  
John Yuen to John C Scott—Lots 14 to 16 17 blk 2, Lancaster, \$75.  
Chas H. Kennedy to J. Kennedy—Lot Q blk 9, Lancaster, \$35.  
Wm Maynard to Pasadena Presbytery—Church—Lots in 1st and 2d blocks, \$200.  
C M Wright vs. Jesus Decker—Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4, Los Angeles—Lots 1 and 2 and 3 and 4½ sec 5 sec 18, T. 18, S. 9 W.  
Mrs P. N. Lewis to G. H. Ralph—Lot 5, Spencer's tract, \$125.  
John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lot 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.  
Philip Engle to Albert Bridgen—20 acres to Frank P. Frey to Mr. J. P. Fuller—Lot 4, 5, 6 and 7 blk 29, Hancock's survey, \$200.  
John F Decher to Theo Mollerling—4½ acres to N ½ sec 5, T. S., R. 12 W., \$200.  
Los Angeles Conservatory of Music, No. 406 S. MAIN STREET, NEAR FIFTH, AN INSTITUTION DEVOTED TO MUSIC IN ALL ITS BRANCHES.—The Modern Languages, Art and Education.—FIRST-CLASS TEACHERS E. J. VALENTINE, Lock Box 860. 808½ ft nov 29th

## EDUCATIONAL

## The Sweet Sounding Zither.

## ZITHER.

Thorough instruction by ALBERT FALLER, 247 S. Main St. MR 141 m.

Los Angeles Conservatory of Music, No. 406 S. MAIN STREET, NEAR FIFTH,

MUSIC IN ALL ITS BRANCHES.—The Modern Languages, Art and Education.—FIRST-CLASS TEACHERS E. J. VALENTINE, Lock Box 860. 808½ ft nov 29th

## MUSICAL INSTRUCTION

MISS MARY E. CRAWFORD, 30 GEARY STREET,

PIANO, GUITAR AND VOICE, CULTURE, NOV 15

SIGNOR A. FARINI'S MUSICAL STUDIO, ROOM 59, NADEAU BLOCK.

SPECIALTY: Operatic and Concert Singing. Also Oratorio and Church.

Reception Hours, from 4 to 5 P.M. NOV 21st

East Los Angeles Institute, For Young Ladies and Children.

For Circles and Particulars apply to MADAME DE LEVAL, corner of Grimes Avenue and Kunkel Street, P. O. Box 1024

ARCHITECTS.

F. E. KYSON, OCTAVIUS MORGAN, WYSON & MORGAN, 1824 HYDESTRELL, 10 W. 12th Street, Gooding, 1½ miles to 10 blk 2, San Pascual tract, \$250.

James Walker to J. A. Jackson—10½ acres to N ½ sec 5 sec 18, T. 14, S. 9 W.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to A. M. Bellamy, Sherrill and Liander B. Bellamy—Lot 4, Hab-

erlyman tract, \$125.

John H. Howard and John E. Howard to John F. Jewett—Lot 1 blk 29, Hancock's survey, \$200.

THURSDAY, April 22, 1886.

Hydestrull to W. A. Gooding—1½ miles to 10 blk 2, San Pascual tract, \$250.

James Walker to J. A. Jackson—10½ acres to N ½ sec 5 sec 18, T. 14, S. 9 W.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.

John H. Bullis to John F. Hunt—Lots 1, 2 and 3, Lancaster, \$125.



